Assessment of glass-forming ability and the effect of La$_2$O$_3$ on crystallization mechanism of barium–lead–zinc phosphate glasses
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Abstract

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used in this work to study the effect of La$_2$O$_3$ addition on crystallization mechanism of barium–lead–zinc phosphate glasses. Bulk glasses from two different routes (using P$_2$O$_5$ and H$_3$PO$_4$ as starting materials) presented only one crystallization peak. An assessment of glass-forming ability (GFA) was performed from recent theory that is connected to glass stability (GS), and is also correlated to critical cooling rate, $q_{cr}$. Systems with high La$_2$O$_3$ content presented some of the highest GS values and estimated critical cooling rates ($q_{cr}$) lower than 0.079 K/s. For both routes were determined the activation enthalpies for crystallization, that were 126±12 kJ/mol (for P$_2$O$_5$) and 110±32 kJ/mol (for H$_3$PO$_4$). The calculated Avrami $n$ parameters, based on exothermic crystallization peaks, were 3.50±0.33 (for P$_2$O$_5$) and 3.09±0.91 (for H$_3$PO$_4$), considering data from the lowest heating rate (5 K/min). These values suggest that the DSC peaks should be associated to volume crystallization, due to La$_2$O$_3$ influence, and crystallization did not change significantly using different routes.
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1. Introduction

Phosphate glasses doped with rare earths are of technological interest owing to their unique properties such as optical quality, relative chemical stability, wide glass-forming range, low-melting, softening and glass transition temperatures, making then appropriate in optical fibers and amplifiers [1].

The determination of the ideal conditions to fabricate glasses destined for optical applications requires knowledge related to the nucleation and crystallization mechanisms. Thus, stability against crystallization is a key parameter. It is important to know if the glass is stable or tends to crystallize and to identify an eventual re-crystallization phenomenon, which could take place during the fiber drawing process. Therefore, it is important to know physical and thermal characteristics of interest. The application of thermal analysis techniques, such as differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) or differential thermal analysis (DTA) has been shown to be a simple and useful method to obtain information about nucleation and crystallization mechanisms in glassy systems [2,3]. In this way, the activation enthalpy for crystal growth and the kinetics of the nucleation and crystallization can be deduced through the formal kinetics theory of Johnson–Mehl–Avrami–Kolmogorov (JMAK) [4–8].

Glass-forming ability (GFA) is the ease to vitrify a liquid on cooling, while glass stability (GS) is the glass resistance against devitrification on heating. Recent studies showed that there exists direct relationship between these two parameters through quantitative criteria using JMAK [9]. For example, Cabral et al. [10] and Avramov et al. [11] presented recent experimental and theoretical studies on GFA and GS, respectively.

GFA accounts for the easy vitrification of a melt when cooled from above the liquidus $T_l$ (or melting point, $T_m$) to the glass transition temperature ($T_g$). This parameter is characterized by the critical cooling rate, $q_{cr}$, which is the lowest cooling rate at which the final degree of crystallinity of the frozen liquid will not exceed
a critical value, $X_c$, normally assumed to be $\approx 0.1\%$ [9–11]. According to stability, there are many definitions for GS, and they involve characteristic temperatures such as glass transition ($T_g$), crystallization onset ($T_c$), peak crystallization ($T_x$) or the melting point ($T_m$). Eqs. (1) and (2) present the GS parameter of Weinberg and Lu and Liu, respectively.

$$K_W = \frac{T_x - T_g}{T_m} \quad (\text{Weinberg}) \quad (1)$$

$$K_{LL} = \frac{T_x}{T_g + T_m} \quad (\text{Lu and Liu}) \quad (2)$$

As most of the GS parameters that consist of three characteristic DSC temperatures show excellent correlation with GFA, it is possible to use them to estimate the GFA from the systems in study. To obtain the experimental GS parameters were carried out DSC runs using bulk glasses [9].

Recently, the DTA and DSC techniques were applied with success for nucleation and crystallization studies on different phosphate glasses [14–18]. Reynoso et al. [14] studied the effect of PbO on crystallization of 50P2O5·27.8Na2O·16ZnO·6.2Al2O3. Yung et al. [15] analyzed the crystallization kinetics of a low-melting 40P2O5·10ZnO·50PbO. Hassan and Hafid [16] verified the properties of 50P2O5·xZnO·(50−x)BaO with 0 ≤ x ≤ 50. Harada et al. [17] studied the effect of small amounts of B2O3 in xB2O3 ·(100−x)[49.5P2O5·50.5BaO], where 0 ≤ x ≤ 3. Ouchetto et al. [18] investigated the chemical durability of lanthanum–zinc phosphate glasses.

In this work, the crystallization mechanisms of phosphate glasses using two different starting materials were studied using the DSC technique. In addition, La2O3 was used as impurity and its effect on crystallization mechanism was investigated. Evaluations of GFA from selected systems are presented and discussed.

2. Experimental

Two phosphate series were used: one using P2O5 and other H3PO4 as starting materials (named series PZnBaPbAl-x and PZnBaPbAl-x:H, respectively). In each series six glasses of composition (65−x)P2O5·14ZnO·10BaO·10PbO·1Al2O3, both doped with xLa2O3 (where x=0, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 mol%), were prepared by conventional melt-quenched method. Commercial reagents of zinc oxide (ZnO), lead oxide (PbO), barium carbonate (BaCO3) and aluminum oxide (Al2O3) were mixed in appropriate proportions and melted in porcelain crucibles in an electric furnace at 1000–1200 °C for 1 h. The melt was then poured into a stainless steel mold and pressed between two stainless steel plates. All melt-quenched samples were transparent.

DSC 404 from Netzsch Instruments was used to determine physical characteristics of the studied glass. The DSC has a temperature accuracy of ±5 °C. Physical characteristics include parameters such as $T_g$, $T_x$, $T_c$ and $T_m$. Based on these results, activation enthalpy of crystallization could be determined. The DSC measurements were performed in air using approximately 20 mg samples for all measurements. To study the crystallization mechanism, DSC measurements were performed on bulk samples only, in a similar way as a recently published work [9], to achieve reasonable estimations on GFA.

3. Results and discussion

The DSC curves obtained from some bulk PZnBaPbAl-x and PZnBaPbAl-x:H glasses series are shown in Table 1. Based on this table, La2O3 substitution caused significant changes on characteristic glass temperatures, as increasing $T_g$ by $\approx 90$ °C, $T_c$ and $T_x$ by $\approx 140$ °C and $T_m$ by $\approx 75$ °C in both series. From the literature, Yung et al. [15] noted that 40P2O5·10ZnO·50PbO glasses show significant improvement in durability while maintaining low $T_g$ (338 °C). Ouchetto et al. [18] affirmed that chemical durability increases with lanthanum content (from 4 up to 17La2O3 mol%). Considering a fixed lanthanum content in both series, glasses made with P2O5 presented something higher $T_c$ values than using H3PO4, suggesting that first route produces more rigidity phosphate structures. $T_x$ and $T_c$ presented not conclusive results comparing both series, but the melting point near coincides for odd compositions. It is important to note that all compositions show $T_g$ ≈ $250$ °C, that could be viewed as another GS parameter. Particularly, sample PZnBaPbAl.10 presented $T_g$ = 451 °C, $T_c$ = 771 °C and $T_x$ = 859 °C while $T_c$ and $T_x$ values for sample PZnBaPbAl 10H were 446, 746 and 889, respectively.

DSC crystallization peaks of the PZnBaPbAl.10 and PZnBaPbAl.10H glasses are shown in Fig. 1a–b, for several heating rates (5, 10, 15 and 20 K/min). The maximum temperature of the PZnBaPbAl.10 crystallization peak ($T_c$) increases from 770 to 859 °C and the PZnBaPbAl.10H crystallization peak increases from 781 to 889 °C, when the heating rate increases from 5 to 20 K/min. In both cases the crystallization peak temperatures shifts to lower temperatures with decreasing heating rate and its intensities decrease, causing the broadening of the peak shape.

As shown in Fig. 1a–b, an asymmetric and broad crystallization peak was observed in both series. This fact is due to the presence of just one phase transformation or crystallization mechanism. This influence was not investigated because the main purpose of the present work is to study the crystallization mechanism on bulk phosphate glass and assess the GFA considering the best GS parameters.

Comparing bulk glass samples of Fig. 1a–b, the $T_g$ and $T_x$ presented essentially the same values (within error, ±5 °C), at around 446–450 °C and 767–772 °C. On the other hand, $T_c$ is greater at PZnBaPbAl.10H glass. This fact may be associated with hydroxyl presence. Further transmittance studies should corroborate (or not) this assumption.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (x)</th>
<th>$T_g$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_c$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_x$ (°C)</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>636</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>1006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>1016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0H</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2H</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>868</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4H</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>678</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6H</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>884</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8H</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>1036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10H</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>1001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Temperature not determined.
An evaluation of GFA could be obtained using parameters presented in Fig. 1a–b. Nascimento et al. [9] showed that strong correlation exists between GS and GFA by four orders of magnitude in $q_{cr}$ using bulk samples. One should use these parameters to estimate GFA from $q_{cr}$:

$$\log_{10} q_{cr} = 4.44 - 21.4 K_W \quad \text{(Weinberg)}$$

$$\log_{10} q_{cr} = 17.7 - 34.6 K_{LL} \quad \text{(Lu and Liu)}$$

The calculated $q_{cr}$ results on Table 2 establish that both systems are easy to produce glasses.

When crystallization mechanisms are studied in glasses, it is important to know the activation enthalpies involved in crystallization processes.

![Fig. 1. DSC crystallization peak of 55 P$_2$O$_5$·14 ZnO·10 BaO·10 PbO·1 Al$_2$O$_3$ (in mol%), with 10 La$_2$O$_3$ mol%, samples PZnBaPbAl.10 (a) and PZnBaPbAl-10H (b) at different heating rates (bulk glass).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition (x)</th>
<th>$T_g$ ($°C$)</th>
<th>$T_s$ ($°C$)</th>
<th>$T_c$ ($°C$)</th>
<th>$T_m$ ($°C$)</th>
<th>$K_{LL}$ (K/K)</th>
<th>$K_W$ (K/K)</th>
<th>$q_{cr}^{LL}$ (K/s)</th>
<th>$q_{cr}^{W}$ (K/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10H</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>1001</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To determine the activation enthalpy ($E_A$) for crystallization, the results from Fig. 1a–b were analyzed using the Kissinger equation [19]:

$$\ln\left(\frac{T_c^2}{\varphi}\right) = \frac{E_A}{RT_c} + C$$

(5)

where $T_c$ is the temperature of the maximum DSC crystallization peak, $\varphi$ is the heating rate, and $R$ is the universal gas constant. The activation enthalpy ($E_A$) was calculated from a plot of $\ln(T_c^2/\varphi)$ versus $1/T_c$. Frequently, similar procedure has been used by other researchers to study the crystallization kinetics of glasses [14,15].

Fig. 2a–b shows Kissinger plots for PZnBaPbAl·10 and PZnBaPbAl·10H bulk glasses. The activation enthalpies were calculated from the slopes of the linear fits to the experimental data in Fig. 2a–b using Eq. (5). From Fig. 2a, the activation enthalpy was $126 \pm 12$ kJ/mol, calculated based on crystallization peak of PZnBaPbAl·10 glass. Similarly, from Fig. 2b, the activation enthalpy of $110 \pm 32$ kJ/mol was obtained for crystallization peak of PZnBaPbAl·10H glass. In both glasses the activation enthalpies are analogous, within error.

Finally, the crystallization mechanism may be correlated with the Avrami parameter $n$ using the equation [20]:

$$n = \frac{2.5 RT_c^2}{\Delta T E_A},$$

(6)

where $\Delta T$ is the width of the crystallization peak at half maximum.

Based on Eq. (6) and the obtained activation enthalpies, Avrami parameters $n$ were determined for PZnBaPbAl·10 and PZnBaPbAl·10H glasses based on deconvolution of both crystallization peaks. For PZnBaPbAl·10 and considering 5 and 10 K/min DSC scans, the obtained
Avrami parameters were $n_5 = 3.50 \pm 0.33$ and $n_{10} = 3.28 \pm 0.31$, respectively. And for PZnBaPbAl·10H considering 5 and 10 K/min DSC scans, the obtained Avrami parameters were $n_5 = 3.09 \pm 0.91$ and $n_{10} = 3.00 \pm 0.89$, respectively. The errors on $n$ are related to errors on $E_A$.

The crystallization mechanism of glasses is produced throughout two stages: nucleation and crystal growth [21]. Nucleation can occur in the volume or on glass surface only. From Eq. (6), a value of $n$ close to 3 denotes volume crystallization [19]. Thus, based on $n$ values obtained in this work, it is reasonable to say that the crystallization peaks in PZnBaPbAl·10 and PZnBaPbAl·10H glasses should be associated with bulk crystallization and related to La$_2$O$_3$ addition (10 mol%) on glass matrix.

4. Conclusions

Crystallization mechanisms in phosphate glasses were studied based on DSC results. The influence of route, using P$_2$O$_5$ and H$_3$PO$_4$ as starting raw materials, and also La$_2$O$_3$ addition on glass matrix were also investigated (considering only thermal properties). Only one distinct exothermic crystallization peak was observed on bulk glasses from both routes. Choosing systems with high lanthanum content, in both glasses the activation enthalpies obtained were equal within error. Based on Avrami $n$ parameter, the DSC peak could be associated with volume crystallization in both glasses. Then crystallization did not change significantly using different routes. Assessments of critical cooling rates were found to be lower than 0.079 K/s on these systems, that showed high glass stability parameters.
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